Zacks Small-Cap Research

July 16, 2015

Lisa Thompson
312-265-9154
lthompson@zacks.com

scr.zacks.com

10 S. Riverside Plaza, Chicago, IL 60606

Finjan Holdings (FNJN-NASDAQ)

FNJN: Additional Blue Coat Lawsuit Filed, Just as First Trial is Scheduled to Start on Monday

Current Recommendation	**Buy**
Prior Recommendation	Buy
Date of Last Change	9/15/2014
Current Price (07/15/15)	$1.38
Target Price	**$3.75**

OUTLOOK

Finjan Holdings, Inc. is an entity that was created in 2013 to enforce the patents of Finjan Inc., a former cybersecurity vendor from Israel founded in 1997. It is pursuing licensing arrangements and/or suing current vendors of cybersecurity hardware and software products it believes infringe upon its patents. On Monday July 20th the Blue Coat trial will commence. It just added a new cybersecurity consulting business and released a web browser in addition to investing in cybersecurity startups in Israel, diversifying its business opportunities. With only a $15 million enterprise value, we believe the company has upside should licensing be successful.

SUMMARY DATA

52-Week High	**$4.46**
52-Week Low	**$1.23**
One-Year Return (%)	**-65.41**
Beta	**0.05**
Average Daily Volume (sh)	**45,691**
Shares Outstanding (mil)	**23**
Market Capitalization ($mil)	**$31**
Short Interest Ratio (days)	**0.27**
Institutional Ownership (%)	**27**
Insider Ownership (%)	**30**
Annual Cash Dividend	**$0.00**
Dividend Yield (%)	**0.00**
5-Yr. Historical Growth Rates	
Sales (%)	**N/M**
Earnings Per Share (%)	**N/M**
Dividend (%)	**N/M**
P/E using TTM EPS	**N/M**
P/E using 2015 Estimate	**3.8**
P/E using 2016 Estimate	**NM**
Zacks Rank	**3**

Risk Level	**High**
Type of Stock	**Small-Blend**
Industry	**Internet-Software**
Zacks Rank in Industry	**53 of 72**

ZACKS ESTIMATES

Revenue
(in millions of $- Restated)

	Q1 (Mar)	Q2 (Jun)	Q3 (Sep)	Q4 (Dec)	Year (Dec)
2013	0.0 R	0.0 R	0.0 R	0.0 R	0.0 R
2014	0.0 R	0.0 R	5.0 R	0.0 A	5.0 A
2015	0.0 A	1.0 E	26.3 E	0.3 E	27.3 E
2016					13.0 E

Earnings per Share
(Restated EPS is continuing operating earnings before non-recurring items)

	Q1 (Mar)	Q2 (Jun)	Q3 (Sep)	Q4 (Dec)	Year (Dec)
2013	NA	NA	NA	NA	-$0.26 A
2014	-$0.08 R	-$0.15 R	$0.03 R	-$0.18 A	-$0.38 A
2015	-$0.19 A	-$0.11 E	$0.82 E	-$0.12 E	$0.36 E
2016					-$0.01 E

© Copyright 2015, Zacks Investment Research. All Rights Reserved.

New Lawsuit Against Blue Coat is Filed Just Days Before Trial Starts

Just when you think its almost over, action against Blue Coat will instead continue into the foreseeable future. Finjan has brought a new suit against Blue Coat, despite the Monday start of the trial for the lawsuit brought against them in 2013. While the two companies have been in settlement talks, a settlement has not been reached. Possibly this new suit will put further pressure on the company to settle and at any rate eliminates the concept that litigation with Blue Coat will be over after next week's trial. Indeed we now think it may be possible that Finjan comes back to Proofpoint and Symantec in this manner also.

This new suit encompasses seven patents and addresses new products that have been introduced by Blue Coat since the last lawsuit. On March 10, 2015, private equity firm Thoma Bravo sold Blue Coat to private equity firm Bain Capital for $2.4 billion, so this new lawsuit will be under the new owners who may have a difference tolerance level for risk and litigation. Specifically the company named certain of Blue Coat's ProxySG Appliances and Software, the ProxyAV Appliances and Software, and WebPulse Services (some of which Blue Coat recently launched) as products that are infringing.

The patents Finjan believes are being infringed upon are: 6,154,844; 6,965,968; 7,418,731; 8,079,086; 8,225,408; 8,566,580 and 8,677,494, the last four of which are being asserted against Blue Coat for the first time. According to its press release "Finjan is seeking a jury trial; entry of judgment of direct and indirect infringement of certain claims of each of the asserted patents by Blue Coat; preliminary and permanent injunction against Blue Coat and its officers, among others, from infringing the asserted patents; and damages to be determined by a jury."

The four new patents being asserted are:

Malicious mobile code runtime monitoring system and methods
US 8079086 B1
2009

ABSTRACT
Protection systems and methods provide for protecting one or more personal computers ("PCs") and/or other intermittently or persistently network accessible devices or processes from undesirable or otherwise malicious operations of Java TN applets, ActiveX™ controls, JavaScript™ scripts, Visual Basic scripts, add-ins, downloaded/uploaded programs or other "Downloadables" or "mobile code" in whole or part. A protection engine embodiment provides, within a server, firewall or other suitable "recommunicator," for monitoring information received by the communicator, determining whether received information does or is likely to include executable code, and if so, causes mobile protection code (MPC) to be transferred to and rendered operable within a destination device of the received information, more suitably by forming a protection agent including the MPC, protection policies and a detected-Downloadable. An MPC embodiment further provides, within a Downloadable-destination, for initiating the Downloadable, enabling malicious Downloadable operation attempts to be received by the MPC, and causing (predetermined) corresponding operations to be executed in response to the attempts, more suitably in conjunction with protection policies.

Method and system for adaptive rule-based content scanners
US 8225408 B2
2004

ABSTRACT
A method for scanning content, including identifying tokens within an incoming byte stream, the tokens being lexical constructs for a specific language, identifying patterns of tokens, generating a parse tree from the identified patterns of tokens, and identifying the presence of potential exploits within the parse

tree, wherein said identifying tokens, identifying patterns of tokens, and identifying the presence of potential exploits are based upon a set of rules for the specific language. A system and a computer readable storage medium are also described and claimed.

Splitting an SSL connection between gateways
US 8566580 B2
2008

ABSTRACT
A system for secure communication, including a first security computer communicatively coupled with a client computer via an SSL connection, including a certificate creator, for receiving certificate attributes of a server computer certificate and for creating a signed certificate therefrom, and an SSL connector, for performing an SSL handshake with the client computer using the signed certificate created by said certificate creator, and a second security computer communicatively coupled with a server computer via an SSL connection, and communicatively coupled with the first security computer via a non-SSL connection, including an SSL connector, for performing an SSL handshake with the server computer using a signed certificate provided by the server computer, and a protocol appender, for appending attributes of the signed certificate provided by the server computer within a message communicated to the first security computer. A method is also described and claimed.

And

Malicious mobile code runtime monitoring system and methods
US 8677494 B2
2011

ABSTRACT
Protection systems and methods provide for protecting one or more personal computers (“PCs”) and/or other intermittently or persistently network accessible devices or processes from undesirable or otherwise malicious operations of Java TN applets, ActiveX™ controls, JavaScript™ scripts, Visual Basic scripts, add-ins, downloaded/uploaded programs or other “Downloadables” or “mobile code” in whole or part. A protection engine embodiment provides for monitoring information received, determining whether received information does or is likely to include executable code, and if so, causes mobile protection code (MPC) to be transferred to and rendered operable within a destination device of the received information. An MPC embodiment further provides, within a Downloadable-destination, for initiating the Downloadable, enabling malicious Downloadable operation attempts to be received by the MPC, and causing (predetermined) corresponding operations to be executed in response to the attempts.

RECENT EVENTS

- Finjan received a distribution from its JVP investment. This investment will yield a Gain on investment of approximately $826,000 in this June quarter and will appear on the income statement under other income.

- Finjan announced the establishment of a new international consulting operation, “CybeRisk” by hiring two world-class executives based in Tel Aviv. It is in effect acting as a VC by starting up a new business.

- The company also announced that its non-compete and confidentiality agreement with its former licensee and acquirer of its hardware business, M86 (who subsequently merged with Trustwave, and more recently into SingTel) has expired. The company now plans to develop and release new products and is moving into direct to consumer applications.

NEXT EVENTS

- **The trial with Blue Coat starts on Monday July 20, 2015.** We expect the Blue Coat trial to take about two weeks and then the results of that trial to be issued another two weeks later.
- We await the results of the Markman hearing with Proofpoint that took place on June 24, 2015 and the Markman hearing with Symantec that occurred on June 29, 2015.

KEY POINTS

- Finjan holds a number of pioneering patents for cybersecurity hardware and software that is relevant to dozens of companies in this space.
- The company's non-compete with Trustwave has ended and they are pursuing new product launches.
- While its patents have already generated > $150M in its licensing and enforcement track record, the company has the potential to continue to reap large licensing revenues from the $20 billion market for cybersecurity software, services and appliance market.
- The technology licensing market in the U.S., once an afterthought, has grown from $33 billion in 1994 to $68 billion in 2002 and is likely over $100 billion today.
- The company's recent settlement with Websense shows its patents are still valued and able to be monetized.
- The company's has a market cap of $31 million and approximately $15 million in cash but could be worth considerably more if it is successful in its licensing pursuits. Estimating revenues of $23 million in 2015 and an industry multiple of 3.7 times sales, the company could be worth $115 million by next year if it succeeds in reaching successful licensing arrangements.

.

OVERVIEW

Finjan Holdings is a patent licensing and enforcement firm based in NYC that owns the patents of its predecessor company Finjan Inc., a cybersecurity company that was founded in Israel in 1997. It invented several technologies that are used in current cybersecurity products today. Its three main patent areas:

- Behavior-based methods to detect and prevent threats from the Internet, including email and Web malware
- "Sandboxing" or stripping applications and running potentially malicious code in a safe environment
- Mobile code replacement where the malicious code is stripped and replaced by safe code

CYBERSECURITY MARKET

The market for cyber security products that may use technology covered by Finjan patents is expected to total $20 billion in sales in 2014, according to estimates by Gartner. These types of products include: endpoint and cloud, web gateway and next generation firewall software and hardware products as shown on the following chart.

Relevant Security Markets	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Endpoint & Cloud	$11.2 BN	$11.8 BN	$12.5 BN	$13.2 BN	$13.8 BN
Web Gateway	$4.1 BN	$4.4 BN	$4.7 BN	$5.0 BN	$5.3 BN
Next Gen. Firewall	$4.0 BN	$4.0 BN	$4.0 BN	$3.7 BN	$3.4 BN
Total Finjan Technology related Sales	$19.3 BN	$20.2 BN	$21.2 BN	$21.9 BN	$22.5 BN

Source: Gartner, IDC and Finjan estimates

Cybersecurity Consulting Business

According to Gartner, the market for information security consulting grew by 8.1% to $15.3 billion in 2014, from $14.2 billion in 2013. Combined, the top 10 providers grew by 12.7%. Three quarters of revenue comes from North America and Western Europe. Deloitte was ranked number one in this market.
Other players, and competitors for Finjan in the cybersecurity consulting business include FireEye's Mandiant division, Hacknetics, a division of Ernst & Young, and root9B (RTNB) as well as IBM and Symantec.

THE PRESENT COMPANY ENFORCEMENT

Litigation Update

Company	Date and Location	Status	Patent numbers
FireEye **($426 m)**	US District Court for the Northern District of California on July 8, 2013	Stayed	**6,804,780**, 8,079,086, 7,975,305, 8,225,408, **7,058,822**, 7,647,633 and 6,154,844
Blue Coat **(est. >$500m)**	US District Court for the Northern District of California on August 28, 2013	**Trial for this action is scheduled for July 20, 2015.**	6,154,844, **6,804,780**, 6,965,968, **7,058,822**, 7,418,731, and 7,647,633
	US District Court for the Northern District of California on July 16, 2015	Just filed	6,154,844; 6,965,968; 7,418,731; 8,079,086; 8,225,408; 8,566,580; 8,677,494
Proofpoint **($196 m)**	United States District Court for the Northern District of California on December 16, 2013	"Markman" occurred **June 24, 2015**. Awaiting results. Trial date for March 7, 2016.	6,154,844, **7,058,822**, 7,613,918, 7,647,633, 7,975,305, 8,079,086, 8,141,154, and 8,225,408
Sophos **(est. $420 m)**	United States District Court for the Northern District of California on March 14, 2014	Markman concluded	6,154,844, **6,804,780**, 7,613,918, 7,613,926, 7,757,289, 8,141,154, 8,566,580, and 8,677,494
Symantec **($6.6 billion)**	United States District Court for the Northern District of California on June 1, 2014	"Markman" occurred **June 29, 2015**. Awaiting results. A trial date has not been scheduled	6,154,844; 7,613,926; 7,756,996; 7,757,289; 7,930,299; 8,015,182; 8,141,154; and 8,677,494
Palo Alto Networks ($739 m)	United States District Court for the Northern District of California on November 4, 2014	"Markman" tentatively scheduled for **November 2, 2015**.	**6,804,780**; 6,965,968; **7,058,822**; 7,418,731; 7,613,918; 7,613,926; 7,647,633; 8,141,154; 8,225,408; and 8,677,494

VALUATION

Valuation of an IP company, even with a track record is very difficult and even more so with a company like Finjan that has only one court victory and one recent licensing arrangement. We can however look at other IP companies to see how the market values them to make some comparisons. There seems to be two different types of companies in this space, the older stable revenue generating companies like Rambus, and the upstarts that trade on events and potential. We can see from the table below, these range from Unwired Planet with a $2 million enterprise value, to Interdigital with an enterprise value of $1.6 billion and $398 million in sales expected this year. On average, the companies with revenues trade at an average of 3.4X 2015 estimated calendar revenues.

Using a valuation based on enterprise value to sales is easier for unprofitable companies. If Finjan were to generate $27 million in sales in 2015, we could see the market putting a 3.4 times sales multiple on it as shown in the industry average below. Using that and working back to enterprise value per share, we see that given those revenues, Finjan could be worth $4.48 per share by next year, assuming it still has the same amount of cash on the balance sheet as today and achieves those revenue levels in 2015 by licensing Blue Coat or other vendors.

IP Companies

Company	Ticker	Revenue 2015E	Revenue LTM	EV/ EBITDA	EBIDTA Margin	Enterprise Value / Sales 2015E	Enterprise Value / Sales LTM	Included in Average?	Enterprise Value
Interdigital	IDCC	$398	$468	6.5	57%	3.9x	3.3x	y	1,560
Inventergy Globa	INVT	NA	$1	-2.5	-166%	NM	10.2x	n	9
Neonode	NEON	$16	$6	-11.9	-200%	7.7x	20.0x	n	120
Parkervision	PRKR	$33	$0	-2.9	NM	0.9x	NM	y	29
Pendrell	PCO	$29	$30	-28.9	-19%	5.9x	5.7x	y	168
Rambus	RMBS	$307	$291	15.6	35%	4.5x	4.7x	y	1,380
RPX	RPXC	$293	$281	2.5	73.10%	1.7x	1.8x	y	510
Tessera	TSRA	$269	$270	8.1	72%	5.4x	5.4x	y	1,460
Unwired Planet	UPIP	$5	$40	6.2	-13%	0.3x	0.0x	n	2
VirnetX	VHC	$20	$1	-6.1	NM	9.5x	139.0x	n	190
Vringo	VRNG	NA	$1	-1.1	NM	NM	27.4x	n	36
Wi-Lan	WILN	$99	$93	3.6	67%	1.7x	1.9x	y	174
Average				**7.6**	**41%**	**3.4x**	**3.3x**		**$754**

	Revenue 2015E	Revenue LTM	EBITDA Margin	Enterprise Value / Sales 2015E	Enterprise Value / Sales LTM	Valuation Range Low	Valuation Range High
FinJan	**$27**	**$5**	**-243.00%**	**3.4x**	**3.3x**	**$16**	**$94**

Conclusion of Enterprise Value	**$93,924,409**
Market Value	**$108,929,409**
Shares Outstanding	24,315,000
Price per Share	**$4.48**

Another way to look at possible revenues from current activity is to compare the relevant revenues of the various companies involved. We are taking a general guess that 20% of Websense's revenues were affected by Finjan patents which resulted in a licensing fee of $8 million at this stage in the litigation. This

was settled before the Markman Hearing. Looking at the rest of the companies we can derive revenues over $200 million for similar licensing at the same stage as Websense.

Blue Coat is much further along in the process as the trial is set to begin on Monday. Because of this we know that Finjan would be seeking higher licensing rates than Websense although to date it has been unsuccessful at settling.

	Revenues	% Relevant		License
FireEye	$428	30%	$128	$13
Blue Coat	500	10%	$50	27
Websense	400	20%	$80	8
Proofpoint	186	50%	$93	9
Sophos	420	25%	$105	11
Symantec (2 seg)	4,200	30%	$1,260	126
Palo Alto Networks	710	42%	$300	30
TOTAL				**224**

Cybersecurity companies

The new consulting venture will have different peer comparables where valuations are much higher. For example, FireEye bought Madiant for $1 billion or approximately 7 times sales. Another comparable would be root9b, a company with $141 million enterprise value and a run rate of $38 million, or 3.7 times.

RISKS

- The company has a limited track record with which to set expectations.
- Finjan's patents have only prevailed in court once, in 2010, and only two patents in the portfolio, **7,058,822** and **6,804,780** have been upheld thus far.
- There is no way to predict the timing of lawsuit settlements or licensing agreements, or even the length of a lawsuit.
- As the current company burns cash and has little licensing revenue, it may not generate enough revenues to cover costs and continue operations in the future unless it generates greater income or receives further financing.
- The stock is high risk and is expected to have wide swings in stock price depending on unpredictable events.
- Government is expected to legislate new laws on patent enforcement that could affect the company's ability to monetize its patents as it currently expects.

BALANCE SHEET

$ in Thousands	3/31/15	12/31/14	% Change
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	$15,005	$17,505	-14%
Accounts receivable, net	55	2,016	-97%
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	65	112	-42%
Total current assets	15,125	19,633	-23%
Property and equipment, net	136	66	106%
Investments	1000	1000	0%
Other long term assets	231	0	
Total Assets	16,492	20,699	-20%
Current Liabilities:			
Accounts payable	1,327	1,675	-21%
Accounts payable - related parties	18	100	-82%
Accrued expenses	842	800	5%
Accrued income taxes	8	0	
Total current liabilities	2,195	2,575	-15%
Total Liabilities	2,195	2,575	-15%
Stockholders' Equity			
Common stock	2	2	0%
Additional paid-in capital	23,501	23,126	2%
Retained earnings	(9,206)	(5,004)	84%
Total Stockholders' Equity	14,297	18,124	-21%
Total Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity	$16,492	$20,699	-20%

INCOME STATEMENT

In Thousands	2014 Q1R	2014 Q2R	2014 Q3R	2014 Q4	2015 Q1	2015 Q2E	2015 Q3E	2015 Q4E	2013R	2014	2015E	2016E
Websense	$0	$0	$4,998	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$4,998	$0	$2,000
Other licenses					0	700	26,300	0	0	0	27,000	9,000
Consulting & Products					0	0	0	300	0	0	300	2000
Total Revenues	**0**	**0**	**4,998**	**0**	**0**	**1,000**	**26,300**	**300**	**0**	**4,998**	**27,300**	**13,000**
Cost of revenues	0	0	800	0	0	30	4,455	20	0	800	4,485	900
Gross profit	0	0	4,198	0	0	970	21,845	280	0	4,198	22,815	12,100
Gross Margin			84%			97%	83%	93%		84%	84%	93%
Operating Expenses:												
SG&A	1,792	3,331	3,535	5,157	4,205	4,200	3,000	3,000	6,225	13,813	14,405	12,000
R&D						100	100	100	0	0	300	500
Transaction costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	790	0	0	0
Total operating expenses	1,792	3,331	3,535	5,157	4,205	4,300	3,100	3,100	7,015	13,813	14,705	12,500
Operating Income	(1,792)	(3,331)	663	(5,157)	(4,205)	(3,330)	18,845	(2,820)	(7,015)	(9,615)	8,110	(400)
Other Income												
Gain on settlements	1,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,000	1,000	0	0
Other income	0	5	0	(5)	(1)	826	0	0	9	0	0	0
Interest income	68	6	8	8	9	7	6	5	153	90	27	100
Total other income		11	8	3	8	833	6	5	1,162	1,090	27	100
Loss before taxes	(724)	(3,320)	671	(5,154)	(4,196)	(2,490)	18,851	(2,815)	(5,853)	(8,525)	8,137	(300)
Income taxes	1	(2)	(2)	8	5	0	0	(5)	(260)	5	0	0
Net Income	(725)	(3,322)	673	(5,146)	(4,201)	(2,490)	18,851	(2,810)	(5,593)	(8,520)	8,137	(300)
Net Income Per Share:												
Basic EPS from Cont.	**($0.03)**	**($0.15)**	**$0.03**	**($0.23)**	**($0.19)**	**($0.11)**	**$0.82**	**($0.12)**	**($0.26)**	**($0.38)**	**$0.36**	**($0.01)**
Diluted EPS	($0.03)	($0.15)	$0.03	($0.21)	($0.19)	($0.11)	$0.76	($0.12)	($0.26)	($0.38)	$0.33	($0.01)
Basic Shares	22,368	22,379	22,422	22,445	22,409	22,682	22,955	23,228	21,602	22,404	22,818	22,500
Diluted Shares	22,368	22,379	23,036	24,250	24,315	24,487	24,760	25,033	23,227	24,209	24,648	24,948

STOCK PRICE CHART



DISCLOSURES

The following disclosures relate to relationships between Zacks Small-Cap Research ("Zacks SCR"), a division of Zacks Investment Research ("ZIR"), and the issuers covered by the Zacks SCR Analysts in the Small-Cap Universe.

ANALYST DISCLOSURES

I, Lisa Thompson, hereby certify that the view expressed in this research report accurately reflect my personal views about the subject securities and issuers. I also certify that no part of my compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the recommendations or views expressed in this research report. I believe the information used for the creation of this report has been obtained from sources I considered to be reliable, but I can neither guarantee nor represent the completeness or accuracy of the information herewith. Such information and the opinions expressed are subject to change without notice.

INVESMENT BANKING, REFERRALS, AND FEES FOR SERVICE

Zacks SCR does not provide nor has received compensation for investment banking services on the securities covered in this report. Zacks SCR does not expect to receive compensation for investment banking services on the Small-Cap Universe. Zacks SCR may seek to provide referrals for a fee to investment banks. Zacks & Co., a separate legal entity from ZIR, is, among others, one of these investment banks. Referrals may include securities and issuers noted in this report. Zacks & Co. may have paid referral fees to Zacks SCR related to some of the securities and issuers noted in this report. From time to time, Zacks SCR pays investment banks, including Zacks & Co., a referral fee for research coverage.

Zacks SCR has received compensation for non-investment banking services on the Small-Cap Universe, and expects to receive additional compensation for non-investment banking services on the Small-Cap Universe, paid by issuers of securities covered by Zacks SCR Analysts. Non-investment banking services include investor relations services and software, financial database analysis, advertising services, brokerage services, advisory services, equity research, investment management, non-deal road shows, and attendance fees for conferences sponsored or co-sponsored by Zacks SCR. The fees for these services vary on a per client basis and are subject to the number of services contracted. Fees typically range between ten thousand and fifty thousand USD per annum.

POLICY DISCLOSURES

Zacks SCR Analysts are restricted from holding or trading securities placed on the ZIR, SCR, or Zacks & Co. restricted list, which may include issuers in the Small-Cap Universe. ZIR and Zacks SCR do not make a market in any security nor do they act as dealers in securities. Each Zacks SCR Analyst has full discretion on the rating and price target based on his or her own due diligence. Analysts are paid in part based on the overall profitability of Zacks SCR. Such profitability is derived from a variety of sources and includes payments received from issuers of securities covered by Zacks SCR for services described above. No part of analyst compensation was, is or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed in any report or article.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information is available upon request. Zacks SCR reports are based on data obtained from sources we believe to be reliable, but are not guaranteed as to be accurate nor do we purport to be complete. Because of individual objectives, this report should not be construed as advice designed to meet the particular investment needs of any investor. Any opinions expressed by Zacks SCR Analysts are subject to change without notice. Reports are not to be construed as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell the securities herein mentioned.

ZACKS RATING & RECOMMENDATION

ZIR uses the following rating system for the 1129 companies whose securities it covers, including securities covered by Zacks SCR:
Buy/Outperform: The analyst expects that the subject company will outperform the broader U.S. equity market over the next one to two quarters.
Hold/Neutral: The analyst expects that the company will perform in line with the broader U.S. equity market over the next one to two quarters.
Sell/Underperform: The analyst expects the company will underperform the broader U.S. Equity market over the next one to two quarters.

The current distribution is as follows: Buy/Outperform- 15.4%, Hold/Neutral- 74.9%, Sell/Underperform – 8.9%. Data is as of midnight on the business day immediately prior to this publication.