

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended: June 30, 2011

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-13429

Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization)

94-3196943

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

5956 W. Las Positas Blvd., Pleasanton, CA 94588

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code): (925) 560-9000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of June 30, 2011: 48,281,053

PART I -- FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, unaudited)

	June 30,		December 31,
	2011	2010	2010
ASSETS			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 262,013	\$ 219,763	\$ 335,049
Trade accounts receivable, net	117,975	104,284	68,256
Inventories	166,934	150,786	152,297
Deferred income taxes	10,884	19,257	10,189
Assets held for sale	6,792	40,457	10,787
Other current assets	<u>8,813</u>	<u>10,224</u>	<u>14,678</u>
Total current assets	573,411	544,771	591,256
Property, plant and equipment, net	183,698	184,949	177,072
Goodwill	72,111	72,163	70,069
Intangible assets, net	22,051	23,156	23,466
Equity method investment	839	473	213
Other noncurrent assets	<u>12,465</u>	<u>17,005</u>	<u>12,633</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 864,575</u>	<u>\$ 842,517</u>	<u>\$ 874,709</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current liabilities			
Trade accounts payable	\$ 32,060	\$ 27,906	\$ 35,164
Accrued liabilities	36,067	31,192	31,372
Liabilities held for sale	—	2,739	—
Income taxes payable	3,054	760	—
Accrued profit sharing trust contributions	2,997	3,540	5,591
Accrued cash profit sharing and commissions	13,036	9,264	2,805
Accrued workers' compensation	<u>5,663</u>	<u>4,386</u>	<u>4,684</u>
Total current liabilities	92,877	79,787	79,616
Long-term liabilities	<u>7,246</u>	<u>9,263</u>	<u>7,300</u>
Total liabilities	<u>100,123</u>	<u>89,050</u>	<u>86,916</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)			
Stockholders' equity			
Common stock, at par value	482	494	500
Additional paid-in capital	167,862	147,745	165,425
Retained earnings	571,229	604,479	607,241
Accumulated other comprehensive income	<u>24,879</u>	<u>749</u>	<u>14,627</u>
Total stockholders' equity	<u>764,452</u>	<u>753,467</u>	<u>787,793</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 864,575</u>	<u>\$ 842,517</u>	<u>\$ 874,709</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
(In thousands except per-share amounts, unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net sales	\$ 177,812	\$ 165,614	\$ 310,348	\$ 289,434
Cost of sales	<u>94,313</u>	<u>88,828</u>	<u>169,900</u>	<u>158,620</u>
Gross profit	<u>83,499</u>	<u>76,786</u>	<u>140,448</u>	<u>130,814</u>
Operating expenses:				
Research and development and other engineering	6,945	5,700	12,939	10,441
Selling	19,819	16,610	36,895	31,483
General and administrative	25,454	20,524	47,076	37,456
Loss on sale of assets	<u>73</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>404</u>
	<u>52,291</u>	<u>42,849</u>	<u>96,958</u>	<u>79,784</u>
Income from operations	31,208	33,937	43,490	51,030
Loss in equity method investment, before tax	(69)	(131)	(82)	(275)
Interest income, net	<u>108</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>179</u>	<u>37</u>
Income from continuing operations before taxes	31,247	33,832	43,587	50,792
Provision for income taxes from continuing operations	<u>11,754</u>	<u>12,773</u>	<u>17,016</u>	<u>19,903</u>
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	<u>19,493</u>	<u>21,059</u>	<u>26,571</u>	<u>30,889</u>
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	<u>—</u>	<u>(14,356)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(14,986)</u>
Net income	<u>\$ 19,493</u>	<u>\$ 6,703</u>	<u>\$ 26,571</u>	<u>\$ 15,903</u>
Earnings (loss) per common share:				
Basic				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.63
Discontinued operations	—	(0.29)	—	(0.30)
Net income	0.39	0.14	0.53	0.32
Diluted				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.62
Discontinued operations	—	(0.29)	—	(0.30)
Net income	0.39	0.14	0.53	0.32
Number of shares outstanding				
Basic	49,404	49,417	49,753	49,403
Diluted	49,456	49,598	49,809	49,559
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.125	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.20

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011 and December 31, 2010
(In thousands except per-share amounts, unaudited)

	Common Stock		Additional	Retained	Accumulated	Treasury	
	Shares	Par Value	Paid-in	Earnings	Other	Stock	Total
			Capital		Comprehensive		
					Income (Loss)		
Balance, January 1, 2010	49,377	\$ 493	\$ 146,036	\$ 598,493	\$ 18,762	—	\$ 763,784
Comprehensive income:							
Net income	—	—	—	15,903	—	—	15,903
Other comprehensive income:							
Translation adjustment, net							
of tax of \$3	—	—	—	—	(18,013)	—	(18,013)
Comprehensive income							(2,110)
Stock options exercised	39	1	954	—	—	—	955
Stock compensation	—	—	561	—	—	—	561
Tax effect of options exercised	—	—	(83)	—	—	—	(83)
Cash dividends declared on							
common stock, \$0.20 per share	—	—	—	(9,917)	—	—	(9,917)
Common stock issued at \$26.89							
per share for stock bonus	10	—	277	—	—	—	277
Balance, June 30, 2010	49,426	494	147,745	604,479	749	—	753,467
Comprehensive income:							
Net income	—	—	—	12,683	—	—	12,683
Other comprehensive income:							
Translation adjustment, net							
of tax of \$9	—	—	—	—	13,878	—	13,878
Comprehensive income							26,561
Stock options exercised	668	6	16,987	—	—	—	16,993
Stock compensation	—	—	3,016	—	—	—	3,016
Tax effect of options exercised	—	—	(2,347)	—	—	—	(2,347)
Cash dividends declared on							
common stock, \$0.20 per share	—	—	—	(9,921)	—	—	(9,921)
Common stock issued at \$22.04							
per share for stock bonus	2	—	24	—	—	—	24
Balance, December 31, 2010	50,096	500	165,425	607,241	14,627	—	787,793
Comprehensive income:							
Net income	—	—	—	26,571	—	—	26,571
Other comprehensive income:							
Translation adjustment, net							
of tax of \$1	—	—	—	—	10,252	—	10,252
Comprehensive income							36,823
Stock options exercised	6	—	154	—	—	—	154
Stock compensation	—	—	2,118	—	—	—	2,118
Tax effect of options exercised	—	—	(39)	—	—	—	(39)
Repurchase of common stock	(1,828)	—	—	—	—	(50,075)	(50,075)
Retirement of common stock	—	(18)	—	(50,057)	—	50,075	—
Cash dividends declared on							
common stock, \$0.25 per share	—	—	—	(12,526)	—	—	(12,526)
Common stock issued at \$30.91							
per share for stock bonus	7	—	204	—	—	—	204
Balance, June 30, 2011	48,281	\$ 482	\$ 167,862	\$ 571,229	\$ 24,879	\$ —	\$ 764,452

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands, unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 26,571	\$ 15,903
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Loss on sale of assets	48	404
Depreciation and amortization	10,055	12,501
Impairment loss on assets held for sale	1,094	17,566
Deferred income taxes	(1,026)	(5,396)
Noncash compensation related to stock plans	2,377	768
Loss in equity method investment	82	275
Excess tax benefit of options exercised	4	(10)
Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts	179	(189)
Provision for excess and obsolete inventory	—	4,932
Accrued interest earned from related party	(58)	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Trade accounts receivable	(48,234)	(41,951)
Inventories	(12,404)	(15,703)
Trade accounts payable	(2,386)	1,854
Income taxes payable	7,890	6,883
Accrued profit sharing trust contributions	(2,611)	(3,459)
Accrued cash profit sharing and commissions	10,160	6,966
Other current assets	410	(540)
Accrued liabilities	3,215	4,373
Long-term liabilities	(42)	190
Accrued workers' compensation	979	34
Other noncurrent assets	352	158
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>(3,345)</u>	<u>5,559</u>
Cash flows from investing activities		
Capital expenditures	(14,095)	(21,628)
Proceeds from sale of capital assets	3,156	60
Loans made to related parties	—	(1,798)
Loans repaid by related parties	26	50
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(10,913)</u>	<u>(23,316)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities		
Repurchase of common stock	(50,075)	—
Issuance of common stock	154	955
Excess tax benefit of options exercised	(4)	10
Dividends paid	(11,274)	(9,879)
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(61,199)</u>	<u>(8,914)</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	<u>2,421</u>	<u>(3,947)</u>
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(73,036)	(30,618)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	<u>335,049</u>	<u>250,381</u>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 262,013</u>	<u>\$ 219,763</u>
Noncash activity during the period		
Noncash capital expenditures	<u>\$ 1,587</u>	<u>\$ 226</u>
Dividends declared but not paid	<u>\$ 6,263</u>	<u>\$ 4,978</u>
Issuance of Company's common stock for compensation	<u>\$ 204</u>	<u>\$ 277</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and its subsidiaries (the “Company”). Investments in 50% or less owned affiliates are accounted for using either cost or the equity method. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

Interim Period Reporting

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations for reporting on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) have been condensed or omitted. These interim statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 (the “2010 Annual Report”).

The unaudited quarterly condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the audited annual consolidated financial statements and, in the opinion of management, contain all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to state fairly the financial information set forth therein, in accordance with GAAP. The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data were derived from audited financial statements, but do not include all disclosures required by GAAP. The Company’s quarterly results fluctuate. As a result, the Company believes the results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any future period.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when the earnings process is complete, net of applicable provision for discounts, returns and incentives, whether actual or estimated, based on the Company’s experience. This generally occurs when products are shipped to the customer in accordance with the sales agreement or purchase order, ownership and risk of loss pass to the customer, collectibility is reasonably assured and pricing is fixed or determinable. The Company’s general shipping terms are F.O.B. shipping point, where title is transferred and revenue is recognized when the products are shipped to customers. When the Company sells F.O.B. destination point, title is transferred and the Company recognizes revenue on delivery or customer acceptance, depending on terms of the sales agreement. Service sales, representing after-market repair and maintenance, engineering activities, software license sales and services and lease income, though significantly less than 1% of net sales and not material to the consolidated financial statements, are recognized as the services are completed or the software products and services are delivered. If actual costs of sales returns, incentives and discounts were to significantly exceed the recorded estimated allowance, the Company’s sales would be adversely affected.

Segment and Discontinued Operations Information

The Company had operated under two reportable segments, the connector products segment and the venting products segment. As set forth in Note 11 “Discontinued Operations,” on August 31, 2010, the Company sold substantially all of the assets and liabilities of its venting segment. Accordingly, the Company has classified the results of the venting products segment, including impairments and losses of goodwill and other assets, as discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for all periods presented. Except as otherwise stated below, discussion in these notes pertains to the Company’s continuing operations.

As a result of the sale of the assets of Simpson Dura-Vent Company, Inc (“Simpson Dura-Vent”), the Company has reorganized its operating segments into three reportable operating segments consisting of North America, Europe and Asia/Pacific.

Net Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Potentially dilutive securities, using the treasury stock method, are included in the diluted per-share calculations for all periods when the effect of their inclusion is dilutive.

The following is a reconciliation of basic earnings (loss) per share (“EPS”) to diluted EPS:

*(in thousands, except)
per-share amounts)*

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Earnings from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 19,493	\$ 21,059	\$ 26,571	\$ 30,889
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	—	(14,356)	—	(14,986)
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 19,493</u>	<u>\$ 6,703</u>	<u>\$ 26,571</u>	<u>\$ 15,903</u>
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	49,404	49,417	49,753	49,403
Dilutive effect of potential common stock equivalents – stock options	<u>52</u>	<u>181</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>156</u>
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	<u>49,456</u>	<u>49,598</u>	<u>49,809</u>	<u>49,559</u>
Net earnings (loss) per share – basic:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.63
Discontinued operations	—	(0.29)	—	(0.30)
Net income	0.39	0.14	0.53	0.32
Net earnings (loss) per share – diluted:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.62
Discontinued operations	—	(0.29)	—	(0.30)
Net income	0.39	0.14	0.53	0.32
Potentially dilutive securities excluded from earnings per diluted share because their effect is anti-dilutive	1,743	646	1,743	856

Anti-dilutive shares attributable to outstanding stock options were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per share.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

With the approval of the Company’s stockholders on April 26, 2011, the Company adopted the Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. 2011 Incentive Plan (the “2011 Plan”). The 2011 Plan amended and restated in their entirety, and incorporated and superseded, both the Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. 1994 Stock Option Plan (the “1994 Plan”), which was principally for the Company’s employees, and the Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. 1995 Independent Director Stock Option Plan (the “1995 Plan”), which was for its independent directors. Options previously granted under the 1994 Plan or the 1995 Plan will not be affected by the adoption of the 2011 Plan and will continue to be governed by the 1994 Plan or the 1995 Plan, respectively.

Under the 1994 Plan, the Company could grant incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options, although the Company granted only non-qualified stock options under the 1994 Plan and the 1995 Plan. The Company generally granted options under each of the 1994 Plan and the 1995 Plan once each year. The exercise price per share of each option granted in February 2011 and February 2010 under the 1994 Plan equaled the closing market price per share

of the Company's common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange on the day preceding the day that the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of the Company's Board of Directors met to approve the grant of the options. The exercise price per share under each option granted under the 1995 Plan was at the fair market value on the date specified in the 1995 Plan. Options vest and expire according to terms established at the grant date. Options granted under the 1994 Plan typically vest evenly over the requisite service period of four years and have a term of seven years. The vesting of options granted under the 1994 Plan will be accelerated if the grantee ceases to be employed by the Company after reaching age 60 or if there is a change in control of the Company. Options granted under the 1995 Plan are fully vested on the date of grant. Shares of common stock issued on exercise of stock options under the 1994 Plan and the 1995 Plan are registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

Under the 2011 Plan, the Company may grant incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units, although the Company currently intends to award primarily restricted stock units and to a lesser extent, if at all, non-qualified stock options. The Company does not currently intend to award incentive stock options or restricted stock. Under the 2011 Plan, no more than 16.3 million shares of the Company's common stock may be issued (including shares already sold) pursuant to all awards under the 2011 Plan, including on exercise of options previously granted under the 1994 Plan and the 1995 Plan. The Company currently intends that shares of common stock to be issued pursuant to the 2011 Plan will be registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

The following table represents the Company's stock option activity, including both continuing and discontinued operations, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Stock option expense recognized in operating expenses	\$ 845	\$ 218	\$ 2,293	\$ 585
Tax benefit of stock option expense in provision for income taxes	297	56	818	173
Stock option expense, net of tax	<u>\$ 548</u>	<u>\$ 162</u>	<u>\$ 1,475</u>	<u>\$ 412</u>
Fair value of shares vested	\$ 837	\$ 179	\$ 2,118	\$ 561
Proceeds to the Company from the exercise of stock options	\$ —	\$ 863	\$ 154	\$ 955
Tax effect from exercise of stock options, including shortfall tax benefits	\$ —	\$ (9)	\$ (39)	\$ (83)
			At June 30,	
			2011	2010
Stock option cost capitalized in inventory			<u>\$ 108</u>	<u>\$ 44</u>

The amounts included in cost of sales, research and development and other engineering, selling, or general and administrative expense depend on the job functions performed by the employees to whom the stock options were granted. The amounts attributed to discontinued operations were not significant for any of the periods presented.

The assumptions used to calculate the fair value of options granted are evaluated and revised, as necessary, to reflect market conditions and the Company's experience.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification™ ("ASC") establishes a valuation hierarchy for disclosure of the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels as follows: Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar

assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument; Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on the Company's assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. A financial asset's or liability's classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company's investments consisted of only United States Treasury securities and money market funds aggregating \$171.6 million, which are maintained in cash equivalents and are carried at cost, approximating fair value, based on Level 1 inputs. There are no other recurring or non-recurring fair value measurements.

Income Taxes

The Company uses an estimated annual effective tax rate to measure the tax benefit or tax expense recognized in each interim period. The provision for income taxes for the first two quarters of 2010, however, have been computed based on those quarters as discrete periods due to the uncertainty regarding the Company's ability to reliably estimate income before taxes during 2010, primarily as a result of uncertainty in the construction markets in which the Company operates.

The following table presents the Company's effective tax rates and income tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

<i>(in thousands, except) percentage amounts)</i>	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Effective tax rate	37.6%	37.8%	39.0%	39.2%
Provision for income taxes	\$ 11,754	\$ 12,773	\$ 17,016	\$ 19,903

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Recent authoritative guidance issued by the FASB (including technical corrections to the ASC), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the Securities and Exchange Commission did not or is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

2. Trade Accounts Receivable, Net

Trade accounts receivable consist of the following:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	At June 30,		At December 31,
	2011	2010	2010
Trade accounts receivable	\$ 121,414	\$ 107,910	\$ 70,781
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,500)	(1,506)	(1,344)
Allowance for sales discounts and returns	(1,939)	(2,120)	(1,181)
	<u>\$ 117,975</u>	<u>\$ 104,284</u>	<u>\$ 68,256</u>

3. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

(in thousands)	At June 30,		At December 31,
	2011	2010	2010
Raw materials	\$ 66,016	\$ 61,238	\$ 61,996
In-process products	19,885	18,729	18,364
Finished products	<u>81,033</u>	<u>70,819</u>	<u>71,937</u>
	<u>\$ 166,934</u>	<u>\$ 150,786</u>	<u>\$ 152,297</u>

4. Property, Plant and Equipment, Net

Property, plant and equipment, net, consist of the following:

(in thousands)	At June 30,		At December 31,
	2011	2010	2010
Land	\$ 29,459	\$ 23,182	\$ 26,384
Buildings and site improvements	155,251	139,304	141,834
Leasehold improvements	3,737	3,543	3,693
Machinery and equipment	<u>190,202</u>	<u>182,317</u>	<u>186,021</u>
	378,649	348,346	357,932
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	<u>(198,461)</u>	<u>(184,638)</u>	<u>(189,751)</u>
	180,188	163,708	168,181
Capital projects in progress	<u>3,510</u>	<u>21,241</u>	<u>8,891</u>
	<u>\$ 183,698</u>	<u>\$ 184,949</u>	<u>\$ 177,072</u>

The Company's vacant facility in San Leandro, California, remained classified as an asset held for sale as of June 30, 2011, consistent with the classification at December 31, 2010. The Company concluded, in the quarter ended June 30, 2011, that the San Leandro facility, associated with the North American segment, is expected to be sold below carrying value, and therefore recorded an impairment charge, within general and administrative expense, of \$1.1 million, equal to the amount by which carrying value exceeds net estimated realizable value. In April 2011, the facility in France, which was acquired in the Aginco purchase, was sold for an amount approximately equal to its carrying cost.

5. Investments

Equity Method Investment

At December 31, 2010, the Company had a 40.6% equity interest in Keymark Enterprises, LLC ("Keymark"), for which the Company accounts using the equity method. Keymark develops software that assists in designing and engineering residential structures. The Company's relationship with Keymark includes the specification of the Company's products in the Keymark software. The Company has no obligation to make any additional capital contributions to Keymark. In March 2011, Keymark's other owner defaulted on its loan and pledge agreement, entered into with the Company in October 2008, for the payment of the remaining balance of \$0.7 million that was due in March 2011. As a result, the Company was assigned an additional 5.5% equity interest pursuant to the pledge agreement, which discharged the remaining debt. At June 30, 2011, the Company's equity interest in Keymark was 46.1%.

6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets, Net

Goodwill was as follows:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	At June 30,		At December 31,
	2011	2010	2010
North America	\$ 41,887	\$ 41,567	\$ 41,761
Europe	28,179	28,969	26,367
Asia/Pacific	2,045	1,627	1,941
Total	<u>\$ 72,111</u>	<u>\$ 72,163</u>	<u>\$ 70,069</u>

Intangible assets, net, were as follows:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	At June 30, 2011		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
North America	\$ 24,022	\$ (12,094)	\$ 11,928
Europe	15,344	(5,221)	10,123
Total	<u>\$ 39,366</u>	<u>\$ (17,315)</u>	<u>\$ 22,051</u>

	At June 30, 2010		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
North America	\$ 24,022	\$ (9,489)	\$ 14,533
Europe	11,398	(2,775)	8,623
Total	<u>\$ 35,420</u>	<u>\$ (12,264)</u>	<u>\$ 23,156</u>

	At December 31, 2010		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
North America	\$ 24,022	\$ (10,792)	\$ 13,230
Europe	14,632	(4,396)	10,236
Total	<u>\$ 38,654</u>	<u>\$ (15,188)</u>	<u>\$ 23,466</u>

Intangible assets consist primarily of customer relationships, patents, unpatented technology and non-compete agreements. Amortization expense, for continuing and discontinued operations, for intangible assets during the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, totaled \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, and during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, totaled \$2.2 million and \$2.5 million, respectively.

At June 30, 2011, estimated future amortization of intangible assets was as follows:

(in thousands)

Final six months of 2011	\$	2,222
2012		3,984
2013		3,417
2014		3,195
2015		2,449
2016		2,307
Thereafter		4,477
	\$	<u>22,051</u>

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill and intangible assets from December 31, 2010, to June 30, 2011, were as follows:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<u>Goodwill</u>	<u>Intangible Assets</u>
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 70,069	\$ 23,466
Amortization	—	(2,209)
Reclassifications	(227)	—
Foreign exchange	2,269	794
Balance at June 30, 2011	<u>\$ 72,111</u>	<u>\$ 22,051</u>

7. Debt

The Company has revolving lines of credit with different banks in the United States and Europe. The Company's primary credit facility, a revolving line of credit with \$200.0 million in available credit, charges interest at LIBOR plus 0.27% (at June 30, 2011, LIBOR plus 0.27% was 0.46%), expires in October 2012, and has commitment fees payable at the annual rate of 0.08% on the unused portion of the facility. Other revolving credit lines, with combined available credit of \$4.9 million at June 30, 2011, charge interest ranging from 2.4% to 3.7% and have various maturity dates. There were no outstanding balances at June 30, 2011 and 2010, and December 31, 2010, and the Company was in compliance with its financial covenants at June 30, 2011.

8. Commitments and Contingencies

Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements in the 2010 Annual Report provides information concerning commitments and contingencies. From time to time, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings and other matters arising in the normal course of business. The resolution of claims and litigation is subject to inherent uncertainty and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

The Company's policy with regard to environmental liabilities is to accrue for future environmental assessments and remediation costs when information becomes available that indicates that it is probable that the Company is liable for any related claims and assessments and the amount of the liability is reasonably estimable. The Company does not believe that these environmental matters will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

Corrosion, hydrogen embrittlement, cracking, material hardness, wood pressure-treating chemicals, misinstallations, misuse, design and assembly flaws, manufacturing defects, environmental conditions or other factors can contribute to failure of fasteners, connectors, tools, anchors, adhesives and venting products. On occasion, some of the fasteners and connectors that the Company sells have failed, although the Company has not incurred any material liability resulting from those failures. The Company attempts to avoid such failures by establishing and monitoring appropriate product specifications, manufacturing quality control procedures, inspection procedures and information on appropriate installation methods and conditions. The Company subjects its products to extensive testing, with results and conclusions published in Company catalogues and on its websites.

Pending Claims

Four lawsuits (the “Cases”) have been filed against the Company in the Hawaii First Circuit Court: *Alvarez v. Haseko Homes, Inc. and Simpson Manufacturing, Inc.*, Civil No. 09-1-2697-11 (“Case 1”); *Ke Noho Kai Development, LLC v. Simpson Strong-Tie Company, Inc., and Honolulu Wood Treating Co., LTD.*, Case No. 09-1-1491-06 SSM (“Case 2”); *North American Specialty Ins. Co. v. Simpson Strong-Tie Company, Inc. and K.C. Metal Products, Inc.*, Case No. 09-1-1490-06 VSM (“Case 3”); and *Charles et al. v. Haseko Homes, Inc. et al. and Third Party Plaintiffs Haseko Homes, Inc. et al. v. Simpson Strong-Tie Company, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 09-1-1932-08 (“Case 4”). Case 1 was filed on November 18, 2009. Cases 2 and 3 were originally filed on June 30, 2009. Case 4 was filed on August 19, 2009. The Cases all relate to alleged premature corrosion of the Company’s strap tie holdown products installed in buildings in a housing development known as Ocean Pointe in Honolulu, Hawaii, allegedly causing property damage. Case 1 is a class action brought by the owners of allegedly affected Ocean Pointe houses. Case 1 was originally filed as *Kai et al. v. Haseko Homes, Inc., Haseko Construction, Inc. and Simpson Manufacturing, Inc.*, Case No. 09-1-1476, but was voluntarily dismissed and then re-filed with a new representative plaintiff. Case 2 is an action by the builders and developers of Ocean Pointe against the Company, claiming that either the Company’s strap tie holdowns are defective in design or manufacture or the Company failed to provide adequate warnings regarding the products’ susceptibility to corrosion in certain environments. Case 3 is a subrogation action brought by the insurance company for the builders and developers against the Company claiming the insurance company expended funds to correct problems allegedly caused by the Company’s products. Case 4 is a putative class action brought, like Case 1, by owners of allegedly affected Ocean Pointe homes. In Case 4, Haseko Homes, Inc. (“Haseko”), the developer of the Ocean Pointe development, has brought a third party complaint against the Company alleging that any damages for which Haseko may be liable are actually the fault of the Company. None of the Cases alleges a specific amount of damages sought, although each of the Cases seeks compensatory damages, and Case 1 seeks punitive damages. The Company is currently investigating the facts underlying the claims asserted in the Cases, including, among other things, the cause of the alleged corrosion; the severity of any problems shown to exist; the buildings affected; the responsibility of the general contractor, various subcontractors and other construction professionals for the alleged damages; the amount, if any, of damages suffered; and the costs of repair, if needed. At this time, the likelihood that the Company will be found liable for any property damage allegedly suffered and the extent of such liability, if any, are unknown. Management believes the Cases may not be resolved for an extended period. The Company intends to defend itself vigorously in connection with the Cases.

Based on facts currently known to the Company, the Company believes that all or part of the claims alleged in the Cases may be covered by its insurance policies. On April 19, 2011, an action was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii, *National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA v. Simpson Manufacturing Company, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 11-00254 ACK. In this action, Plaintiff National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (“National Union”), which issued certain Commercial General Liability insurance policies to the Company, seeks declaratory relief in the Cases with respect to its obligations to defend or indemnify the Company, Simpson Strong-Tie Company Inc., and a vendor of the Company’s strap tie holdown products. The Company has moved to dismiss or stay National Union’s action, and anticipates that it will vigorously defend all claims advanced by National Union and vigorously advance all of its own claims against National Union.

On October 28, 2009, a patent infringement lawsuit, entitled *Ei-Land Corporation v. Simpson Strong-Tie Company Inc., Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc., et al.*, was filed against the Company in the United States District Court, for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division, 2:09-cv-00337-TJW. In this action, Plaintiff alleges that the Company’s Steel Strong Wall® product infringes several claims of a patent owned by Plaintiff. Plaintiff seeks monetary damages in the form of a reasonable royalty based on the Company’s manufacture and sale of the allegedly infringing product. Plaintiff does not manufacture the invention disclosed by the patent-in-suit. The Company denies the allegations of the Plaintiff’s complaint and has counterclaimed on the ground that the patent-in-suit is invalid. Trial is set to begin on April 2, 2012. At this time, liability, if any, is unknown, but management believes is unlikely to be material to the Company’s results of operations.

9. Stock-Based Incentive Plans

The Company currently has one stock-based incentive plan, which incorporates and supersedes its two previous plans (see Note 1 “Basis of Presentation – Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation”). Participants are granted stock-based awards only if the applicable Company-wide or profit-center operating goals, or both, established by the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of the Board of Directors at the beginning of the year, are met.

The fair value of each stock option award was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Expected volatility is based on historical volatilities of the Company’s common stock measured monthly over a term that is equivalent to the expected life of the award. The expected term of awards granted is estimated based on the Company’s prior exercise experience and future expectations of the exercise and termination behavior of the grantees. The risk-free rate is based on the yield of United States Treasury zero-coupon bonds with maturities comparable to the expected life in effect at the time of grant. The dividend yield is based on the expected dividend yield on the grant date.

Black-Scholes option pricing model assumptions for options granted in 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

Number of Options Granted <i>(in thousands)</i>	Grant Date	Risk- Free Interest Rate	Dividend Yield	Expected Life	Volatility	Exercise Price	Weighted Average Fair Value
1994 Plan							
1,362	02/03/11	2.62%	1.75%	6.2 years	39.0%	\$29.66 to \$32.63	\$10.33
148	02/02/10	2.93%	1.62%	6.5 years	36.0%	\$24.75	\$8.46
1995 Plan							
30	02/15/11	2.92%	1.76%	6.6 years	38.0%	\$29.58	\$10.49

No options were granted under the 1995 Plan in 2010.

The following table summarizes the Company’s stock option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

Non-Qualified Stock Options	Shares <i>(in thousands)</i>	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value * <i>(in thousands)</i>
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	1,124	\$ 34.19		
Granted	1,392	29.66		
Exercised	(7)	23.74		
Forfeited	(16)	35.69		
Outstanding at June 30, 2011	<u>2,493</u>	\$ 31.68	4.6	\$ 1,873
Outstanding and expected to vest at June 30, 2011	<u>2,436</u>	\$ 31.74	4.6	\$ 1,817
Exercisable at June 30, 2011	<u>1,083</u>	\$ 35.04	2.2	\$ 773

* The intrinsic value represents the amount, if any, by which the fair market value of the underlying common stock exceeds the exercise price of the option, using the closing price per share of \$29.87 as reported by the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2011.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, was \$40 thousand and \$0.3 million, respectively.

A summary of the status of unvested options as of June 30, 2011, and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2011, are presented below:

Unvested Options	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Unvested at January 1, 2011	180	\$ 7.85
Granted	1,392	10.33
Vested	(161)	9.88
Forfeited	<u>(1)</u>	6.55
Unvested at June 30, 2011	<u>1,410</u>	\$ 10.07

As of June 30, 2011, \$9.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost was related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements under the 1994 Plan. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.5 years. Options granted under the 1995 Plan are fully vested and are expensed on the date of grant.

10. Segment Information

The Company is organized into three reportable segments. The segments are defined by the regions where the Company's products are manufactured, marketed and distributed to the Company's customers. The three regional segments are the North American segment, comprising primarily the United States and Canada, the European segment and the Asia/Pacific segment, comprising the Company's operations in China, Hong Kong, the south Pacific and the Middle East. These segments are similar in several ways, including the types of materials, the production processes, the distribution channels and the product applications.

The following table illustrates certain measurements used by management to assess the performance as of or for the following periods:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<i>Net Sales</i>				
North America	\$ 139,112	\$ 134,963	\$ 243,734	\$ 235,494
Europe	35,648	28,481	61,446	49,592
Asia/Pacific	2,815	2,170	4,692	4,348
Administrative and all other	237	—	476	—
Total	<u>\$ 177,812</u>	<u>\$ 165,614</u>	<u>\$ 310,348</u>	<u>\$ 289,434</u>
<i>Sales to Other Segments*</i>				
North America	\$ 1,490	\$ 966	\$ 2,812	\$ 1,609
Europe	169	15	250	67
Asia/Pacific	2,044	1,949	4,155	3,597
Total	<u>\$ 3,703</u>	<u>\$ 2,930</u>	<u>\$ 7,217</u>	<u>\$ 5,273</u>
<i>Income (Loss) from Operations</i>				
North America	\$ 30,793	\$ 32,890	\$ 45,843	\$ 53,368
Europe	2,650	2,534	1,196	698
Asia/Pacific	(552)	(117)	(1,265)	(309)
Administrative and all other	<u>(1,683)</u>	<u>(1,370)</u>	<u>(2,284)</u>	<u>(2,727)</u>
Total	<u>\$ 31,208</u>	<u>\$ 33,937</u>	<u>\$ 43,490</u>	<u>\$ 51,030</u>

* The sales to other segments are eliminated on consolidation.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	At June 30,		At
	2011	2010	December 31, 2010
<i>Total Assets</i>			
North America	\$ 595,842	\$ 549,130	\$ 557,762
Europe	140,572	116,637	123,669
Asia/Pacific	25,461	21,327	25,576
Administrative and all other	102,700	155,423	167,702
Total	<u>\$ 864,575</u>	<u>\$ 842,517</u>	<u>\$ 874,709</u>

Total assets from discontinued operations of \$32.6 million at June 30, 2010, are included in “Administrative and all other.” Cash collected by the Company’s United States subsidiaries is routinely transferred into the Company’s cash management accounts and, therefore, has been included in the total assets of “Administrative and all other.” Cash and cash equivalent balances in the “Administrative and all other” segment were \$191.5 million, \$173.6 million, and \$274.6 million, as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and December 31, 2010, respectively. Real estate assets previously allocated to the venting products segment have been allocated to the “Administrative and all other” as of August 31, 2010. See Note 11.

11. Discontinued Operations

On August 31, 2010, the Company sold substantially all of the assets and liabilities of Simpson Dura-Vent Company, Inc. pursuant to an agreement dated June 30, 2010, with M&G Holding B.V. (“M&G”) and M&G Dura-Vent, Inc. The Company decided to sell the assets of Simpson Dura-Vent in order to focus exclusively on the development of its profitable connector products business. Simpson Dura-Vent represented the Company’s entire venting operating segment. The carrying amounts of the major classes of assets and liabilities of the discontinued operations held for sale at June 30, 2010, consisted of the following:

(in thousands)

Trade accounts receivable, net	\$ 12,408
Inventories, net	19,878
Other current assets	284
Assets held for sale	<u>\$ 32,570</u>
Accounts payable	\$ 1,573
Other current liabilities	1,166
Liabilities held for sale	<u>\$ 2,739</u>

The results from discontinued operations, including the impairments and losses recorded in operating expenses, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, were as follows:

(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010
Revenues	\$ 12,992	\$ 23,072
Cost of sales	<u>10,443</u>	<u>19,116</u>
Gross profit	2,549	3,956
Operating expenses	2,375	4,829
Impairment charge	<u>21,350</u>	<u>21,350</u>
Loss from discontinued operations	(21,176)	(22,223)
Benefit from income taxes from discontinued operations	<u>(6,820)</u>	<u>(7,237)</u>
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	<u>\$ (14,356)</u>	<u>\$ (14,986)</u>

12. Subsequent Events

In July 2011, the Company's Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.125 per share, estimated to total \$6.0 million, to be paid on October 27, 2011, to stockholders of record on October 6, 2011.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This document contains forward-looking statements, based on numerous assumptions and subject to risks and uncertainties. Although the Company believes that the forward-looking statements are reasonable, it does not and cannot give any assurance that its beliefs and expectations will prove to be correct. Many factors could significantly affect the Company's operations and cause the Company's actual results to be substantially different from the Company's expectations. See "Part II, Item 1A - Risk Factors." Actual results might differ materially from results suggested by any forward-looking statements in this report. The Company does not have an obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of the receipt of new information, the occurrence of future events or otherwise.

The following is a discussion and analysis of the consolidated financial condition and results of continuing operations for the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The following should be read in conjunction with the interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes appearing elsewhere herein.

Results of Continuing Operations for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011, Compared with the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010

Income from operations decreased 8.0% from \$33.9 million in the second quarter of 2010 to \$31.2 million in the second quarter of 2011. The following table illustrates the change in the Company's continuing operations from the three months ended June 30, 2010, to the three months ended June 30, 2011, and the increases or decreases for each category by segment.

(in thousands)

	Continuing Operations 2010	Three Months Ended June 30, Increase (Decrease) in Operating Segment				Continuing Operations 2011
		North America	Europe	Asia/ Pacific	Admin & All Other	
Net sales	\$ 165,614	\$ 4,149	\$ 7,167	\$ 645	\$ 237	\$ 177,812
Cost of sales	88,828	(1,708)	5,312	1,224	657	94,313
Gross profit	76,786	5,857	1,855	(579)	(420)	83,499
Research and development and other engineering expense	5,700	907	332	6	—	6,945
Selling expense	16,610	2,291	774	143	1	19,819
General and administrative expense	20,524	4,781	544	(286)	(109)	25,454
Loss on sale of assets	15	(24)	89	(8)	1	73
Income from operations	33,937	(2,098)	116	(434)	(313)	31,208
Loss in equity method investment, before tax	(131)	62	—	—	—	(69)
Interest income, net	26	81	46	15	(60)	108
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	33,832	(1,955)	162	(419)	(373)	31,247
Provision for income taxes from continuing operations	12,773	(3,047)	568	(89)	1,549	11,754
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 21,059	\$ 1,092	\$ (406)	\$ (330)	\$ (1,922)	\$ 19,493

The following table represents net sales by segment for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011:

(in thousands)

	<u>North America</u>	<u>Europe</u>	<u>Asia/ Pacific</u>	<u>Admin & All Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
Three months ended:					
June 30, 2010	\$ 134,963	\$ 28,481	\$ 2,170	\$ —	\$ 165,614
June 30, 2011	<u>139,112</u>	<u>35,648</u>	<u>2,815</u>	<u>237</u>	<u>177,812</u>
Increase	4,149	7,167	645	237	12,198
Percentage increase	3.1%	25.2%	29.7%	—	7.4%

Net sales increased 7.4% from \$165.6 million in the second quarter of 2010 to \$177.8 million in the second quarter of 2011. The increase in net sales resulted from increases in both sales volume and average prices, as average prices increased 5.3% as compared to the second quarter of 2010. The Company had income, net of tax, of \$19.5 million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to income, net of tax, of \$21.1 million for the second quarter of 2010. Diluted income, net of tax, was \$0.39 per common share for the second quarter of 2011 compared to diluted income, net of tax, of \$0.42 per common share for the second quarter of 2010.

In the second quarter of 2011, sales increased throughout most of North America and increased significantly in Europe. Sales increased in the United States with increases in California and the western, midwestern and southeastern regions, as compared to the second quarter of 2010. Sales in the northeastern region decreased slightly. Sales in Canada decreased compared to the second quarter of 2010. Sales in Asia and Australia, although relatively small, increased as compared to the second quarter of 2010. Sales to contractor distributors, lumber dealers and home centers increased, although economic conditions remain challenging, and sales to dealer distributors were flat. The sales increase was broad-based across most of the Company's major product lines as compared to the second quarter of 2010. Sales of anchor products increased over the same period in the prior year while sales of shearwalls decreased.

The following table represents gross profit by segment for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011:

(in thousands)

	<u>North America</u>	<u>Europe</u>	<u>Asia/ Pacific</u>	<u>Admin & All Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
Three months ended:					
June 30, 2010	\$ 65,927	\$ 9,721	\$ 994	\$ 144	\$ 76,786
June 30, 2011	<u>71,784</u>	<u>11,576</u>	<u>415</u>	<u>(276)</u>	<u>83,499</u>
Increase (decrease)	5,857	1,855	(579)	(420)	6,713
Percentage increase (decrease)	8.9%	19.1%	(58.2%)	—	8.7%

Gross margins increased slightly from 46.4% in the second quarter of 2010 to 47.0% in the second quarter of 2011, primarily due to slightly lower manufacturing costs, including lower costs of material and labor, partly offset by increased factory overhead costs. Steel prices increased from their levels in mid-2010, as steel mills have been raising prices as demand returns to global steel markets. The Company expects steel prices to remain at current levels or to increase for the remainder of 2011. The Company's inventories increased 9.6% from \$152.3 million at December 31, 2010, to \$166.9 million at June 30, 2011.

Research and development and engineering expense increased 21.8% from \$5.7 million in the second quarter of 2010 to \$6.9 million in the second quarter of 2011, including increases in cash profit sharing of \$0.6 million and personnel costs of \$0.4 million. Selling expense increased 19.3% from \$16.6 million in the second quarter of 2010 to \$19.8 million in the second quarter of 2011, including increases in personnel costs of \$1.2 million, cash profit sharing and commissions of \$1.1 million, and promotional costs of \$0.6 million. General and administrative expense increased 24.0% from \$20.5 million in the second quarter of 2010 to \$25.5 million in the second quarter of 2011, including increases in cash profit sharing of \$1.2 million, professional fees of \$1.1 million, impairment of available for sale assets of \$1.1 million, personnel costs of \$0.8 million and stock option expense of \$0.3 million. The Company concluded, in the second quarter of 2011, that its San Leandro facility is expected to be sold below carrying value, and therefore recorded an impairment charge of \$1.1 million, equal to the amount by which carrying value exceeds the estimated net realizable value. The changes in operating costs were mostly attributable to the North American segment. The effective tax rate was 37.6% in the second quarter of 2011, as compared to 37.8% in the second quarter of 2010.

Results of Continuing Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011, Compared with the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

Income from operations decreased 14.8% from \$51.0 million in the first half of 2010 to \$43.5 million in the first half of 2011. The following table illustrates the change in the Company's continuing operations from the six months ended June 30, 2010, to the six months ended June 30, 2011, and the increases or decreases for each category by segment.

(in thousands)

(in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,					
	Continuing Operations	Increase (Decrease) in Operating Segment			Continuing Operations	
	2010	North America	Europe	Asia/Pacific	All Other	2011
Net sales	\$ 289,434	\$ 8,241	\$ 11,854	\$ 344	\$ 475	\$ 310,348
Cost of sales	158,620	947	8,290	1,371	672	169,900
Gross profit	130,814	7,294	3,564	(1,027)	(197)	140,448
Research and development and other engineering expense	10,441	2,042	477	(17)	(4)	12,939
Selling expense	31,483	3,954	1,196	276	(14)	36,895
General and administrative expense	37,456	8,925	1,647	(330)	(622)	47,076
Loss on sale of assets	404	(102)	(254)	—	—	48
Income from operations	51,030	(7,525)	498	(956)	443	43,490
Loss in equity method investment, before tax	(275)	193	—	—	—	(82)
Interest income, net	37	109	48	24	(39)	179
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	50,792	(7,223)	546	(932)	404	43,587
Provision for income taxes from continuing operations	19,903	(4,741)	877	(173)	1,150	17,016
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ 30,889	\$ (2,482)	\$ (331)	\$ (759)	\$ (746)	\$ 26,571

The following table represents net sales by segment for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011:

(in thousands)

	North America	Europe	Asia/Pacific	Admin & All Other	Total
Six months ended:					
June 30, 2010	\$ 235,493	\$ 49,592	\$ 4,349	\$ —	\$ 289,434
June 30, 2011	243,734	61,446	4,693	475	310,348
Increase	8,241	11,854	344	475	20,914
Percentage increase	3.5%	23.9%	7.9%	—	7.2%

In the first half of 2011, net sales increased 7.2% to \$310.3 million as compared to net sales of \$289.4 million in the first half of 2010. The increase in net sales resulted from increases in both sales volume and average prices, as average prices increased 4.8% as compared to the first half of 2010. The Company had income, net of tax, of \$26.6 million for the first half of 2011 compared to income, net of tax, of \$30.9 million for the first half of 2010. Diluted income, net of tax, per common share was \$0.53 for the first half of 2011 compared to diluted income, net of tax, of \$0.62 per common share for the first half of 2010.

In the first half of 2011, sales increased throughout most of North America and increased significantly in Europe. Sales increased in California and the midwestern, southeastern and northeastern regions as compared to the first half of 2010. Sales in Canada decreased compared to the first half of 2010. Sales in Asia and Australia, although relatively small, increased as compared to the first half of 2010. Sales to contractor distributors, dealer distributors, lumber dealers and home centers increased. The sales increase was broad-based across most of the Company's major product lines as compared to the first half of 2010. Sales of anchor products increased over the same period in the prior year while sales of shearwalls decreased.

The following table represents gross profit by segment for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011:

(in thousands)

	<u>North America</u>	<u>Europe</u>	<u>Asia/ Pacific</u>	<u>Admin & All Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
Six months ended:					
June 30, 2010	\$ 112,966	\$ 16,103	\$ 1,666	\$ 79	\$ 130,814
June 30, 2011	<u>120,260</u>	<u>19,667</u>	<u>639</u>	<u>(118)</u>	<u>140,448</u>
Increase (decrease)	7,294	3,564	(1,027)	(197)	9,634
Percentage increase (decrease)	6.5%	22.1%	(61.7%)	–	7.4%

Gross margins increased slightly from 45.2% in the first half of 2010 to 45.3% in the first half of 2011, primarily due to slightly lower manufacturing costs, including lower costs of material and labor, partly offset by increased factory overhead costs.

Research and development and engineering expense increased 23.9% from \$10.4 million in the first half of 2010 to \$12.9 million in the first half of 2011, including increases in personnel costs of \$1.1 million, cash profit sharing of \$0.8 million and professional services of \$0.6 million. Selling expense increased 17.2% from \$31.5 million in the first half of 2010 to \$36.9 million in the first half of 2011, including increases in personnel costs of \$2.5 million, cash profit sharing and commissions of \$1.6 million, and promotional costs of \$0.8 million. General and administrative expense increased 25.7% from \$37.5 million in the first half of 2010 to \$47.1 million in the first half of 2011, including increases in cash profit sharing of \$2.3 million, professional fees of \$1.8 million, personnel costs of \$1.6 million, stock option expense of \$1.1 million, impairment of available for sale assets of \$1.1 million and provision for bad debt of \$0.5 million. The changes in operating costs were mostly attributable to the North American segment. The effective tax rate was 39.0% in the first half of 2011, as compared to 39.2% in the first half of 2010.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company did not make any significant changes to its critical accounting policies and estimates during the three or six months ended June 30, 2011, from those disclosed in “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” included in the Company’s 2010 Annual Report. Recent authoritative guidance issued by the FASB (including technical corrections to the ASC), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the Securities and Exchange Commission did not or is not expected to have a material effect on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity and Sources of Capital

As of June 30, 2011, working capital was \$480.5 million as compared to \$465.0 million at June 30, 2010, and \$511.6 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in working capital from December 31, 2010, was primarily due to decreases in cash and cash equivalents of \$73.0 million, other current assets of \$5.9 million and assets held for sale of \$4.0 million, and increases in accrued cash profit sharing and commissions of \$10.2 million, income taxes payable of \$3.1 million and accrued liabilities of \$4.7 million. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents was primarily due to the repurchase of the Company’s common stock for \$50.1 million during the second quarter of 2011. The decrease in assets held for sale was a result of selling two facilities and the impairment of the Company’s facility in San Leandro, California. These decreases in working capital were partly offset by increases in net trade accounts receivable of \$49.7 million and inventories of \$14.6 million, and decreases in trade accounts payable of \$3.1 million and accrued profit sharing trust contributions of \$2.6 million. Net trade accounts receivable increased 72.8% from December 31, 2010, as a result of a seasonal increase in sales in the latter part of the second quarter of 2011 compared to the latter part of the fourth quarter of 2010. Raw material inventories increased 6.5% as compared to December 31, 2010, and in-process and finished goods inventories increased 11.8% over the same period. The balance of the change in working capital was due to the fluctuation of various other asset and liability accounts, none of which was individually material. The working capital change and changes in noncurrent assets and liabilities, combined with net income of \$26.6 million and noncash expenses, primarily depreciation, amortization, impairment of available for sale assets and stock-based compensation charges totaling \$13.5 million, resulted in net cash used by operating activities of \$3.3 million. As of June 30, 2011, the Company had unused credit facilities available of \$204.9 million.

The Company's vacant facility in San Leandro, California, remained classified as an asset held for sale as of June 30, 2011, consistent with the classification at December 31, 2010. The Company concluded, in the quarter ended June 30, 2011, that the San Leandro facility is expected to be sold below carrying value, and therefore recorded an impairment charge of \$1.1 million, equal to the amount by which carrying value exceeds net estimated realizable value.

The Company's investing activities used cash of \$10.9 million. The Company's capital expenditures were primarily to improve its facility in San Bernardino, California, and to acquire real estate in Germany, and the cash received was primarily from the sale of facilities in Visalia, California, and in France. The Company estimates that its full-year capital spending will total between \$33 million and \$35 million in 2011.

The Company's financing activities used net cash of \$61.2 million. The repurchase of 1.8 million shares of the Company's common stock in the amount of \$50.1 million and the payments of cash dividends in the amount of \$11.3 million were the primary uses of cash. In July 2011, the Company's Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.125 per share, estimated to total \$6.0 million, to be paid on October 27, 2011, to stockholders of record on October 6, 2011. The Company has \$49.9 million remaining of its common stock repurchase authorization for 2011.

The Company believes that cash generated by operations and borrowings available under its credit facility will be sufficient for the Company's working capital needs and planned capital expenditures for the next 12 months. Depending, however, on the Company's future growth and possible acquisitions, it may become necessary to secure additional sources of financing, which may not be available on reasonable terms, or at all.

The Company believes that the effect of inflation on the Company has not been material in recent years, as general inflation rates have remained relatively low. Because, however, the Company's main raw material is steel, increases in steel prices may adversely affect the Company's gross margins if it cannot recover the higher costs through price increases.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The Company has foreign exchange rate risk in its international operations, primarily Europe and Canada, and through purchases from foreign vendors. The Company does not currently hedge this risk. If the exchange rate were to change by 10% in any one country or currency where the Company has operations, the change in net income would not be material to the Company's operations as a whole. The translation adjustment resulted in an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$3.4 million and \$10.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to the effect of the weakening of the United States dollar in relation to the Canadian and most European currencies.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As of June 30, 2011, an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the chief executive officer ("CEO") and the chief financial officer ("CFO"). Based on that evaluation, the CEO and the CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of that date and that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures at that date were designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, including ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in such reports is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the CEO and the CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

The Company's management, including the CEO and the CFO, does not, however, expect that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures or the Company's internal control over financial reporting will necessarily prevent all fraud and material errors. An internal control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. In addition, the design of a control system must reflect the facts that there are resource constraints and that the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. The inherent limitations in an internal control system include the realities that judgments can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls also can be

circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of controls. The design of any system of internal control is also based in part on assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential events and conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in circumstances, or the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company made no changes to its internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, its internal control over financial reporting.

PART II -- OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

From time to time, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings and other matters arising in the normal course of business.

Four lawsuits (the “Cases”) have been filed against the Company in the Hawaii First Circuit Court: *Alvarez v. Haseko Homes, Inc. and Simpson Manufacturing, Inc.*, Civil No. 09-1-2697-11 (“Case 1”); *Ke Noho Kai Development, LLC v. Simpson Strong-Tie Company, Inc., and Honolulu Wood Treating Co., LTD.*, Case No. 09-1-1491-06 SSM (“Case 2”); *North American Specialty Ins. Co. v. Simpson Strong-Tie Company, Inc. and K.C. Metal Products, Inc.*, Case No. 09-1-1490-06 VSM (“Case 3”); and *Charles et al. v. Haseko Homes, Inc. et al. and Third Party Plaintiffs Haseko Homes, Inc. et al. v. Simpson Strong-Tie Company, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 09-1-1932-08 (“Case 4”). Case 1 was filed on November 18, 2009. Cases 2 and 3 were originally filed on June 30, 2009. Case 4 was filed on August 19, 2009. The Cases all relate to alleged premature corrosion of the Company’s strap tie holdown products installed in buildings in a housing development known as Ocean Pointe in Honolulu, Hawaii, allegedly causing property damage. Case 1 is a class action brought by the owners of allegedly affected Ocean Pointe houses. Case 1 was originally filed as *Kai et al. v. Haseko Homes, Inc., Haseko Construction, Inc. and Simpson Manufacturing, Inc.*, Case No. 09-1-1476, but was voluntarily dismissed and then re-filed with a new representative plaintiff. Case 2 is an action by the builders and developers of Ocean Pointe against the Company, claiming that either the Company’s strap tie holdowns are defective in design or manufacture or the Company failed to provide adequate warnings regarding the products’ susceptibility to corrosion in certain environments. Case 3 is a subrogation action brought by the insurance company for the builders and developers against the Company claiming the insurance company expended funds to correct problems allegedly caused by the Company’s products. Case 4 is a putative class action brought, like Case 1, by owners of allegedly affected Ocean Pointe homes. In Case 4, Haseko Homes, Inc. (“Haseko”), the developer of the Ocean Pointe development, has brought a third party complaint against the Company alleging that any damages for which Haseko may be liable are actually the fault of the Company. None of the Cases alleges a specific amount of damages sought, although each of the Cases seeks compensatory damages, and Case 1 seeks punitive damages. The Company is currently investigating the facts underlying the claims asserted in the Cases, including, among other things, the cause of the alleged corrosion; the severity of any problems shown to exist; the buildings affected; the responsibility of the general contractor, various subcontractors and other construction professionals for the alleged damages; the amount, if any, of damages suffered; and the costs of repair, if needed. At this time, the likelihood that the Company will be found liable for any property damage allegedly suffered and the extent of such liability, if any, are unknown. Management believes the Cases may not be resolved for an extended period. The Company intends to defend itself vigorously in connection with the Cases.

Based on facts currently known to the Company, the Company believes that all or part of the claims alleged in the Cases may be covered by its insurance policies. On April 19, 2011, an action was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii, *National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA v. Simpson Manufacturing Company, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 11-00254 ACK. In this action, Plaintiff National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (“National Union”), which issued certain Commercial General Liability insurance policies to the Company, seeks declaratory relief in the Cases with respect to its obligations to defend or indemnify the Company, Simpson Strong-Tie Company Inc., and a vendor of the Company’s strap tie holdown products. The Company has moved to dismiss or stay National Union’s action, and anticipates that it will vigorously defend all claims advanced by National Union and vigorously advance all of its own claims against National Union.

On October 28, 2009, a patent infringement lawsuit, entitled *Ei-Land Corporation v. Simpson Strong-Tie Company Inc., Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc., et al.*, was filed against the Company in the United States District Court, for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division, 2:09-cv-00337-TJW. In this action, Plaintiff alleges that the Company's Steel Strong Wall® product infringes several claims of a patent owned by Plaintiff. Plaintiff seeks monetary damages in the form of a reasonable royalty based on the Company's manufacture and sale of the allegedly infringing product. Plaintiff does not manufacture the invention disclosed by the patent-in-suit. The Company denies the allegations of the Plaintiff's complaint and has counterclaimed on the ground that the patent-in-suit is invalid. Trial is set to begin on April 2, 2012. At this time, liability, if any, is unknown, but management believes is unlikely to be material to the Company's results of operations.

The Company is not engaged in any other legal proceedings as of the date hereof, which the Company expects individually or in the aggregate to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, cash flows or results of operations. The resolution of claims and litigation is subject to inherent uncertainty and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, cash flows or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We are affected by risks specific to us, as well as risks that generally affect businesses operating in global markets. Some of the significant factors that could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results appear in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K (available at www.simpsonmfg.com/docs/10K-2010.pdf or www.sec.gov).

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

In February 2011, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase up to \$100.0 million of the Company's common stock. This replaced the \$50.0 million repurchase authorization from December 2009. The authorization will remain in effect through the end of 2011. The following table presents the monthly purchases by the Company during the second quarter of 2011:

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs
May 2011	1,039,600	\$ 26.95	1,039,600	\$72.0 million
June 2011	<u>788,020</u>	\$ 27.99	788,020	\$49.9 million
Total	<u>1,827,620</u>			

Item 6. Exhibits.

The following exhibits are either incorporated by reference into this report or filed with this report, as indicated below.

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc., as amended, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007.
- 3.2 Bylaws of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc., as amended through December 13, 2010, are incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of its Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 16, 2010.

- 4.1 Amended Rights Agreement dated as of June 15, 2009, between Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and Computershare Trust Company, N.A., which includes as Exhibit B the form of Rights Certificate, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form 8-A/A dated June 15, 2009.
- 4.2 Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of Series A Participating Preferred Stock of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc., dated July 30, 1999, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of its Registration Statement on Form 8-A dated August 4, 1999.
- 4.3 Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan for Salaried Employees is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-8, File Number 333-173811, dated April 29, 2011.
- 4.4 Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan for Hourly Employees is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-8, File Number 333-173811, dated April 29, 2011.
- 10.1 Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. 1994 Stock Option Plan, as amended through February 13, 2008, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008.
- 10.2 Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. 1995 Independent Director Stock Option Plan, as amended through November 18, 2004, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008.
- 10.3 Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. Executive Officer Cash Profit Sharing Plan, as amended through February 25, 2008, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008.
- 10.4 Credit Agreement dated as of October 10, 2007, among Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. as Borrower, the Lenders party thereto, Wells Fargo Bank as Agent, and Simpson Strong Tie Company Inc., and Simpson Strong-Tie International, Inc. as Guarantors, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010.
- 10.5 Form of Indemnification Agreement between Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and its directors and executive officers, as well as the officers of Simpson Strong-Tie Company Inc., is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.6 Compensation of Named Executive Officers is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 8, 2011.
- 10.7 Compensation of Named Executive Officers is incorporated by reference to Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Schedule 14A Proxy Statement dated March 10, 2011.
- 10.8 Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. 2011 Incentive Plan is incorporated by reference to Exhibit A of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Schedule 14A Proxy Statement dated March 10, 2011.
- 31. Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications are filed herewith.
- 32. Section 1350 Certifications are filed herewith.
- 99.1 Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. 1994 Employee Stock Bonus Plan, as amended through November 18, 2004, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- 101 Financial statements from the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL, are filed herewith and include: (i) the

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.

(Registrant)

DATE: August 8, 2011

By /s/Karen Colonias
Karen Colonias
Chief Financial Officer
(principal accounting and financial officer)

Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries
Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications

Exhibit 31

I, Thomas J Fitzmyers, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

DATE: August 8, 2011

By /s/Thomas J Fitzmyers
Thomas J Fitzmyers
Chief Executive Officer

Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries
Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications

Exhibit 31 (continued)

I, Karen Colonias, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

DATE: August 8, 2011

By /s/Karen Colonias

Karen Colonias
Chief Financial Officer

Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries
Section 1350 Certifications

Exhibit 32

The undersigned, Thomas J Fitzmyers and Karen Colonias, being the duly elected and acting Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, respectively, of Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), hereby certify that the quarterly report of the Company on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011, fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that information contained in such report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 8, 2011

/s/Thomas J Fitzmyers

Thomas J Fitzmyers
Chief Executive Officer

/s/Karen Colonias

Karen Colonias
Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code has been provided to Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and will be retained by Simpson Manufacturing Co., Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff on request.